Appln. No.: 10/684,470

## **REMARKS**

Claims 1, 3, 4, 6, 8, 10-12, 14-17, 20, 22 and 28 are presented for consideration, with Claims 1 and 3 being independent.

The claims have been amended to better set forth Applicants' invention.

Initially, Applicants wish to thank the Examiner for reconsideration and withdrawal of the rejection of the claims under 35 U.S.C. §112, first paragraph, for failing to comply with the enabling requirement.

The claims remain rejected, however, under 35 U.S.C. §112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the written description requirement. This rejection is respectfully traversed.

In setting forth the rejection, the primary contention in the Office Action is that the specification fails to adequately explain a composition and structure of the support frame 86, which corresponds to the claimed "first member." This contention is respectfully traversed. In this regard, it is respectfully submitted that one skilled in the art would be readily able to determine an appropriate composition and structure of the support frame. Glass, for example, is a conventional component of the support frame, and the specification, in paragraph [0159] infers that the support frame 86 is made of glass, as it is disclosed that the underlayer 204 of silver paste is a porous film having a high adhesion to glass. Moreover, it is respectfully submitted that the composition and structure of the support frame, *per se*, are not essential parts of Applicants' claimed invention, as the claims are directed to a method for manufacturing an image display

Appln. No.: 10/684,470

device. Still further, the claims have been amended and are now directed to an image display device instead of an airtight container.

Accordingly, it is respectfully submitted that Applicants' invention is described in sufficient detail that one skilled in the art would reasonably conclude that Applicants had possession of the claimed invention. Accordingly, reconsideration and withdrawal of the rejection under 35 U.S.C. §112, first paragraph, is respectfully requested.

## FIRST SUPPLEMENTAL INFORMATION DISCLOSURE STATEMENT

In compliance with the duty of disclosure under 37 C.F.R. § 1.56 and in accordance with the practice under 37 C.F.R. §§ 1.97 and 1.98, the Examiner's attention is directed to the documents listed on the enclosed Form PTO-1449. Copies of the listed foreign documents are also enclosed.

The concise explanation of relevance for the Japanese document is provided by its accompanying English-language abstract. For the Examiner's information, U.S. Patent Publication Application No. 2002-0180342 corresponds to Korean Patent Document No. 2002-0065934.

Applicants certify under 37 C.F.R. §1.97(e)(1) that each item of information contained in the subject information disclosure statement was cited in a communication from a foreign patent office in a counterpart foreign application not more than three months prior to the filing of this statement. Specifically, these documents were first cited in a Korean Office Action

Appln. No.: 10/684,470

dated June 1, 2006, in a corresponding Korean patent application. A copy of the Office Action

that issued on that related application is enclosed.

It is respectfully requested that the above information be considered by the

Examiner and that an initialed copy of the enclosed Form PTO-1449 be returned indicating that

such information has been considered.

**CONCLUSION** 

Due consideration and prompt passage to issue are respectfully requested.

Applicants' undersigned attorney may be reached in our Washington, D.C.

office by telephone at (202) 530-1010. All correspondence should continue to be directed to our

below-listed address.

Respectfully submitted,

Scott D. Malpede

Attorney for Applicants Registration No. 32,533

FITZPATRICK, CELLA, HARPER & SCINTO

30 Rockefeller Plaza

New York, New York 10112-3801

Facsimile: (212) 218-2200

SDM\rnm

DC\_MAIN 246131v1